



**GILBERT POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**STRATEGIC INITIATIVE**  
**QUARTERLY REPORT**  
 FY16 Report – Second Quarter

February 8, 2016: Prepared by the Gilbert Police Department, Support Services Division




Community Livability

STRATEGIC INITIATIVE	Community Livability (CL-PD-1)
STRATEGIC GOAL	Maximize the use of technology, data, and staff resources to conduct thorough and efficient criminal investigations.

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain clearance rates for crimes of violence that are higher than the national average according to the FBI publication *Crime in the United States*.<sup>1</sup>

Figure 1: Violent Crimes Clearance Rates




Violent Crimes Clearance Rates: National vs. Gilbert

<b>2014 National Clearance Rate</b>	47.4%						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Gilbert Clearance Rates</b>	80.7%	47.8%	59.2%	59.1%		61.1%	59.1%

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain clearance rates for property offenses that are higher than the national average according to the FBI publication *Crime in the United States*.

Figure 2: Property Crimes Clearance Rates




Property Crimes Clearance Rates: National vs. Gilbert

<b>2014 National Clearance Rate</b>	20.2%						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Gilbert Clearance Rates</b>	25.9%	30.0%	26.7%	24.3%		25.4%	25.4%

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or exceed Gilbert P.D. clearance rates for crimes of violence as compared to the previous year.

Figure 3: Comparison of Clearance Rates for Violent Crimes




Comparison of Clearance Rates for Violent Crimes

<b>FY13-FY15 Gilbert Clearance Rate Average</b>	62.4%						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Gilbert Clearance Rate</b>	80.7%	47.8%	59.2%	59.1%		61.1%	59.1%

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or exceed Gilbert P.D. clearance rates for property crimes as compared to the previous year.

Figure 4: Comparison of Clearance Rates for Property Crimes



Comparison of Clearance Rates for Property Crimes

FY13-FY15 Gilbert Clearance Rate Average		23.9%					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert Clearance Rate</b>	25.9%	30.0%	26.7%	24.3%		25.4%	25.4%

**Supporting Goal:** Verify address information, within the time limits established by policy, for all sex offenders registered in the Town of Gilbert.

Registered Sex Offender Verifications / Gilbert PD

	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Sex Offenders Requiring Verification</b>	12	25	14	14		72	28
<b>Sex Offender Verifications Completed</b>	22	23	4	12		53	16

## Lab Metrics<sup>2</sup>

*Lab Requests for Controlled Substances*

<b>Controlled Substances Goal</b>	Analyze Controlled Substance within 20 days of arrival at lab.					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert Controlled Substances</b> Average of Days	29.9	40.1	27.0	43.0	29.1	35.0
<b>Mesa Controlled Substances</b> Average of Days	29.8	40.3	60.1	54.2	58.1	57.2

*Lab Requests for Toxicology: Blood Alcohol*

<b>Toxicology: Blood Alcohol Goal</b>	Analyze Blood Alcohol content within 14 days of arrival at lab.					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert Toxicology: Blood Alcohol</b> Average of Days	25.3	10.8	6.5	6.6	20.0	6.6
<b>Mesa Toxicology: Blood Alcohol</b> Average of Days	30.7	13.0	8.6	7.0	23.0	7.8

*Lab Requests for Toxicology: Blood Drugs*

<b>Toxicology: Blood Drugs Goal</b>	Analyze Blood for drug toxicology within 90 days of arrival at lab.					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert Toxicology: Blood Drugs</b> Average of Days	142.2	103.2	64.3	56.0	213.6	60.2
<b>Mesa Toxicology: Blood Drugs</b> Average of Days	149.7	98.3	68.9	60.8	223.3	64.9

*CODIS<sup>3</sup> Databases Matches*


<b>CODIS Database Matches Goal</b>	Achieve 20% match rate for unknown DNA submitted to CODIS Database.					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert CODIS Database Matches</b>	20%	9%	22%	33%	20%	28%



STRATEGIC INITIATIVE	Community Livability (CL-PD-1)
STRATEGIC GOAL	Utilize data and technology to effectively and efficiently deploy personnel and resources to provide a timely response to calls for service (CFS).

**Supporting Goal:** Establish *average response time* targets for each identified CFS priority level. (Note: “Average CFS response time” is defined as the time period from when the CFS is received to responder arrival).

Figure 5: Average CFS Response Time



CFS Average Response Time Goal Attainment

PRIORITY LEVEL	Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
0 Emergency	5.5 min	4:43	4:34	4:13	4:05	4:22	4:09
1 Urgent	6.5 min	6:46	6:41	6:13	6:28	6:36	6:21
2 High	15 min	13:02	12:43	11:58	12:23	12:28	12:11
3 Low	45 min	28:38	26:31	25:17	27:01	26:10	26:10
4 Report Only	60 min	13:29	12:19	15:12	21:10	16:13	18:32

**Supporting Goal:** Meet CFS average response time targets as follows:

- 80% of target for Priority 0 calls
- 80% of target for Priority 1 calls
- 70% of target for Priority 2, 3, and 4 calls

CFS Average Response Time Goal Attainment

PRIORITY LEVEL	Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
0 Emergency	80%	67.4%	76.3%	80.9%	82.7%	71.9%	81.7%
1 Urgent	80%	55.6%	57.0%	63.0%	59.5%	56.8%	61.2%
2 High	70%	73.5%	76.2%	76.8%	74.7%	75.0%	75.7%
3 Low	70%	81.5%	84.2%	85.1%	82.6%	84.1%	83.8%
4 Report Only	70%	94.4%	92.0%	94.3%	88.7%	95.3%	91.2%

**Supporting Goal:** Establish *average dispatch time* targets for CFS priority level 0 and 1 (Note: “Average dispatch time” is defined as from time call is received to dispatching/assigning units).

*CFS Average Dispatch Time Targets*

PRIORITY LEVEL	Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
0 Emergency	90 sec	27 sec	24 sec	23 sec	23 sec		25 sec	23 sec
1 Urgent	90 sec	52 sec	47 sec	43 sec	41 sec		50 sec	43 sec

**Supporting Goal:** Meet established *average dispatch time* targets as follows:

- 90% of target for Priority 0 calls
- 90% of target for Priority 1 calls

*CFS Average Dispatch Time Target Goal Achievement*

PRIORITY LEVEL	Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
0 Emergency	90%	99.5%	99.3%	100.0%	99.4%		99.1%	99.7%
1 Urgent	90%	88.0%	90.0%	92.5%	93.5%		89.4%	93.0%

**Supporting Goal:** Answer 90% of 911 calls within 10 seconds

*Emergency Calls (911) Answered Within 10 Seconds*

Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
90%	93.5%	92.6%	93.0%	91.8%		93.1%	92.4%


**Supporting Goal:** Answer 90% of non-emergency calls within 30 seconds

*Non-Emergency Calls Answered Within 30 Seconds*

Target Goal	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
90%	99.8%	99.7%	99.6%	99.6%		99.7%	99.6%

## Dispatched Calls for Service

Figure 6: Dispatched Calls for Service




Dispatched Calls for Service

FY13-FY15 Dispatched CFS Average		15,683					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
Dispatched Calls for Service	15,939	16,272	16,827	17,149		64,574	33,976

## Committed Time Allocation

Figure 7: Patrol Committed Time Allocation



Patrol Time Allocation

FY14-FY15 Patrol Committed Time Allocation in Hours Avg		Dispatched CFS - 7,816.1; Officer Initiated - 6,411.8; Admin - 867.0					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
Dispatched CFS	7,948.6	7,978.7	8,002.0	8,248.5		31,932.5	16,250.5
Officer Initiated	5,776.8	6,567.1	6,587.9	6,881.7		24,273.9	13,469.6
Admin	868.6	895.7	783.8	1,722.2		3,569.4	2,506.0

## Gilbert-Chandler Unified Holding Facility Metrics

### G-CUHF Total Bookings

G-CUHF Total Bookings							
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert PD</b>	998	1,042	1,186	1,056		2,387	2,242
<b>Chandler PD</b>	715	747	881	812		1,629	1,693
<b>Total</b>	1,713	1,789	2,067	1,868		4,016	3,935

### G-CUHF Bookings not released to MCSO

G-CUHF Bookings not Released to MCSO							
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert PD</b>	458	742	786	737		1,390	1,523
<b>Chandler PD</b>	260	384	458	398		735	856
<b>Total</b>	718	1,126	1,244	1,135		2,125	2,379

### G-CUHF Bookings released to MCSO

G-CUHF Bookings Released to MCSO							
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
<b>Gilbert PD</b>	308	300	397	319		766	716
<b>Chandler PD</b>	342	363	417	414		781	831
<b>Total</b>	650	663	814	733		1,547	1,547



Technology Leader

<b>STRATEGIC INITIATIVE</b>	Technology Leader (TL-PD-1)
<b>STRATEGIC GOAL</b>	Effective use of technology to enhance intelligence led policing.

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain the lowest overall crime rate, based on total UCR Part I offenses per 1,000, of communities with a population greater than 100,000 in Arizona<sup>4</sup> for calendar year. Achieve ranking within top five 100 largest US cities with the lowest overall crime rates based on total calendar year Part I offenses per 1,000 residents.


Town of Gilbert Safe Community Ranking

Year	Ranking
2012 National Ranking	3
2013 National Ranking	2
2014 National Ranking	2
	<b>Ranking</b>
2012 Arizona Ranking	1
2013 Arizona Ranking	1
2014 Arizona Ranking	1

**Data to Support Goal:**

**Violent Crimes Reported**

Figure 8: Violent Crimes Reported




Violent Crimes Reported

<b>FY13-FY15 Gilbert Violent Crime Average</b>	53						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Gilbert Violent Crimes</b>	57	46	49	44		203	93

**Property Crimes Reported**

Figure 9: Property Crimes Reported




Property Crimes Reported

FY13-FY15 Gilbert Property Crime Average		870					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Gilbert Property Crimes</b>	842	793	805	915	3,489	1,720	

**Total Crimes Reported**

Figure 10: Total Crimes Reported (Violent & Property)



Total Crimes Reported (Violent & Property)

FY13-FY15 Gilbert Total Crime Average		922					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Gilbert Total Crimes</b>	899	839	854	959	3,692	1,813	



Economic Development

<b>STRATEGIC INITIATIVE</b>	<b>Economic Development (ED-PD-1)</b>
<b>STRATEGIC GOAL</b>	Enhance the economic development plan by providing a safe environment.

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain *required* school resource officer (SRO) staffing levels.

*SRO Staffing Levels*

STAFFING LEVEL	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2
<b>Required SRO Staffing</b>	11	11	9	9
<b>Current SRO Staffing</b>	9	9	9	9

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain a rating of 90% or greater for overall satisfaction with the Gilbert Police services based on the 2013 National Citizen Survey for the Town of Gilbert.<sup>5</sup>

*Overall Satisfaction with Police Services*

TIME PERIOD	SATISFACTION RATE
<b>2010 Rating</b>	93.0%
<b>2013 Rating</b>	92.0%

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain a satisfaction rating of 90% or greater for citizens feeling safe from violent crime victimization based on the 2013 National Citizen Survey for the Town of Gilbert.

*Feeling Safe from Violent Crime Victimization*

TIME PERIOD	SATISFACTION RATE
<b>2010 Rating</b>	98.5%
<b>2013 Rating</b>	91.0%

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain a satisfaction rating of 90% or greater for citizens feeling safe from property crime victimization based on the 2013 National Citizen Survey for the Town of Gilbert.

*Feeling Safe from Property Crime Victimization*

TIME PERIOD	SATISFACTION RATE
<b>2010 Rating</b>	96.4%
<b>2013 Rating</b>	78.0%


**Supporting Goal:** Maintain a satisfaction rating of 90% or greater for citizens feeling safe on local roadways based on the 2013 National Citizen Survey for the Town of Gilbert.

*Feeling Safe on Local Roadways*

TIME PERIOD	SATISFACTION RATE
<b>2010 Rating</b>	96.0%
<b>2013 Rating</b>	87.0%

### Citizen Complaints


Figure 11: Average External Complaints and Average Complaints Sustained



External Complaints

FY13-FY15 External Complaints Average / Complaints Sustained Average / Not Sustained Average		19 / 3 / 7						
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
External Complaints	11	17	14	10		74	24	
External Complaints Sustained	2	0	0	2		7	2	
External Complaints Not Sustained	0	1	0	1		2	1	


Figure 12: External Complaints Sustained Rate



External Complaints Sustained Rate

FY13-FY15 External Complaints Sustained Rate Average		3.69%						
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
External Complaints Sustained Rate	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%		9.46%	8.33%	


Figure 13: External Complaint Not Sustained Rate



External Complaints Not Sustained Rate

FY13-FY15 External Complaints Not Sustained Rate Average		9.25%					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
External Complaints Not Sustained Rate	0.00%	5.88%	0.00%	10.00%	2.70%	4.17%	


Figure 14: CFS per External Complaint



Calls for Service per External Complaint

FY13-FY15 Average CFS per One External Complaint		2,418					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
CFS per One External Complaint	3,594	2,675	2,387	4,709	2,336	3,354	
Total CFS	39,531	45,477	33,413	47,090	172,849	80,503	

Figure 15: Arrests per External Complaint




Arrests per External Complaint

<b>FY13-FY15 Average Arrests per One External Complaint</b>	118						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Arrests per One External Complaint</b>	199	142	186	236		127	207
<b>Total Arrests<sup>6</sup></b>	2,184	2,419	2,610	2,356		9,385	4,966

Use of Force Events<sup>7</sup>


Figure 16: Average Use of Force Events



Use of Force Events

<b>FY13-FY15 Gilbert Use of Force Events Average</b>	31						
	<b>FY15 Q3</b>	<b>FY15 Q4</b>	<b>FY16 Q1</b>	<b>FY16 Q2</b>		<b>FY15 Year End</b>	<b>FY16 YTD</b>
<b>Gilbert Use of Force Events</b>	26	15	28	22		105	50


Figure 17: Weapon and Taser Displays



Weapon and Taser Displays

FY13-FY15 Gilbert Weapon and Taser Displays Average		37					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
Gilbert Weapon and Taser Displays	29	27	42	24	126	66	


Figure 18: CFS per One Use of Force Event



Calls for Service per One Use of Force Event

FY13-FY15 Average CFS per One Use of Force Event		1,482					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
CFS per One Use of Force Event	1,520	3,032	1,193	2,140	1,646	1,610	
Total CFS	39,531	45,477	33,413	47,090	172,849	80,503	


Figure 19: Arrests per One Use of Force Event



Arrest per One Use of Force Event

FY13-FY15 Average Arrests per One Use of Force Event		72					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
Arrests per One Use of Force Event	84	161	93	107	89	99	
Total Arrests <sup>6</sup>	2,184	2,419	2,610	2,356	9,385	4,966	

Figure 20: Arrests per Use Weapon and Taser Display Event




Arrest per One Weapon and Taser Display Event

FY13-FY15 Average Arrests per One Weapon and Taser Display Event		60					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
Arrests per One Weapon and Taser Display Event	75	90	62	98	74	75	
Total Arrests <sup>6</sup>	2,184	2,419	2,610	2,356	9,385	4,966	

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or reduce traffic collision rates based on the total number of collisions per 1,000 residents.<sup>8</sup>


**Figure 21: Total Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents**



*Total Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents*

FY13-FY15 Traffic Collision per 1,000 Residents Average		3.11					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents</b>	3.42	2.99	3.28	3.59	13.02	6.87	


**Figure 22: Total Non-Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents**



*Total Non-Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents*

FY13-FY15 Non-Injury Collision per 1,000 Residents Average		2.40					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Non-Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents</b>	2.55	2.29	2.48	2.73	9.87	5.21	


Figure 23: Total Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents



Total Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents

FY13-FY15 Injury Collision per 1,000 Residents Average		0.70					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
Injury Collisions per 1,000 Residents	0.85	0.68	0.79	0.86		3.08	1.65

Figure 24: Total Fatal Collisions per 1,000 Residents




Total Fatal Collisions per 1,000 Residents

FY13-FY15 Fatal Collision per 1,000 Residents Average		0.01					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
Fatal Collisions per 1,000 Residents	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00		0.06	0.01

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or reduce alcohol and drug related traffic collision rates based on total drug/alcohol related collisions per 1,000 residents.

**Figure 25: Total Alcohol/ Drug Related Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents**




**Total Drug/Alcohol Related Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents**

FY13-FY15 Traffic Alcohol/Drug Related Collision per 1,000 Residents Average		0.16					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
Alcohol/Drug Related Traffic Collisions per 1,000 Residents	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.14		0.66	0.30

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or increase the number of “driving under the influence” (DUI) arrests.

**Figure 26: Total DUI Arrests**




**Total DUI Arrests**

FY13-FY15 DUI Arrests Average		469					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2		FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD
DUI Arrests	317	325	360	449		1,611	809

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or increase officer initiated traffic and subject contacts.

Figure 27: Total Traffic and Subject Stops




Total Traffic and Subject Contacts

FY13-FY15 Traffic and Subject Stops Average		13,553					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Traffic and Subject Stops</b>	10,835	14,707	17,728	15,095	52,155	32,823	

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain recidivism rates of less than 5% for domestic violence cases.

Figure 28: Domestic Violence Recidivism Rates




Domestic Violence Recidivism Rates

FY13-FY15 Domestic Violence Recidivism Rate Average		3.2%					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
<b>Domestic Violence Recidivism Rate</b>	2.7%	3.9%	3.2%	3.7%	3.1%	3.5%	

**Supporting Goal:** Maintain or reduce the number of juvenile criminal offenses (excluding curfew, alcohol, truancy, and tobacco violations).

**Figure 29: Total Juvenile Arrests**



**Total Juvenile Arrests**

FY13-FY15 Juvenile Arrest Average		247					
	FY15 Q3	FY15 Q4	FY16 Q1	FY16 Q2	FY15 Year End	FY16 YTD	
Juvenile Arrests	221	191	226	220	880	446	

**Supporting Goal:** Conduct court ordered counseling services within 30 days of court appearance or judicial order.

<b>Counseling Services Performed Within 30 Days of Appearance or Judicial Order</b>	Meeting goal as of 12/31/2015
---	-------------------------------

**Endnotes**

- <sup>1</sup> Crime statistics for the United States are published annually by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) which represents crime reported to police.
- <sup>2</sup> Lab Metric numbers for both Gilbert PD and Mesa PD are compiled by Mesa PD Forensic Services.
- <sup>3</sup> Combined DNA Index System or CODIS
- <sup>4</sup> Morgan, Kathleen O’Leary, Scott Morgan, and Rachel Boba Santos. *City Crime Rankings 2014: Crime in Metropolitan America*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2014; 2012 rankings.  
2013 National ranking data obtained from the FBI’s Crime in the United States 2013, [http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/ucr/crime-in-the-u.s/2013/crime-in-the-u.s.-2013/tables/table-8/table\\_8\\_offenses\\_known\\_to\\_law\\_enforcement\\_by\\_state\\_by\\_city\\_2013.xls/view](http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/ucr/crime-in-the-u.s/2013/crime-in-the-u.s.-2013/tables/table-8/table_8_offenses_known_to_law_enforcement_by_state_by_city_2013.xls/view).  
Arizona rankings are compiled by the Tempe Police Department; 2011 through 2013 rankings.  
2012 Arizona rankings are compiled by the Access Integrity Unit of AzDPS, Crime in Arizona  
All rankings are based on UCR Part I Crimes.
- <sup>5</sup> Ratings for 2010 were compiled from the Town of Gilbert (TOG) Heads-of-Household Survey/2010 – This survey has been replaced with the National Citizen Survey. Ratings in 2013 were compiled from the National Citizen Survey conducted for the Town of Gilbert.
- <sup>6</sup> Arrest numbers do not include warrant arrests.
- <sup>7</sup> Use of Force Figures 16, 18 and 19 are excluding weapon and taser displays.
- <sup>8</sup> Population data figures were provided by the Town of Gilbert Office of Management and Budget:  
2015/239,277  
2016/242,955